### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

MARTIN O. LONG, \*

Plaintiff, \*

vs. \* Case Number: 2:06cv816-MHT

STATE FARM FIRE AND \* CASUALTY COMPANY,

\*

Defendant.

# MOTION TO COMPEL NON-PARTY (MAX FEDERAL CREDIT UNION) TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO NON-PARTY SUBPOENA, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE WHY NON-PARTY SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT

COMES NOW, State Farm Fire and Casualty Company, Defendant in the above-styled matter and moves this Honorable Court for an order compelling a non-party to respond to a subpoena *duces tecum* issued pursuant to Rule 45, Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 45(c)(2)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P., authorizes the Court to issue an order compelling a non-party to comply with a subpoena *duces tecum*. In the alternative, State Farm requests the Court to enter an order directing the non-party to show cause why it should not be held in contempt for failure to comply with the subpoena *duces tecum*. See Rule 45(e), Fed. R. Civ. P.

On or about May 1, 2007, State Farm issued a subpoena *duces tecum* to Max Federal Credit Union, Post Office Box 244040, Montgomery, Alabama, 36124-4040

("Max"). A copy of that subpoena is attached hereto as Exhibit A. That subpoena directed Max to produce all records it possessed relating to the Plaintiff, Martin Long.

As of the date of this motion, Max has failed to comply with the above-referenced subpoena, but has made no objection thereto. Max has indicated to State Farm's counsel its intent to comply with the subpoena (Max and counsel for State Farm agreed that Max would produce records relating to the plaintiff that are dated from 2004 to the present). However, because the date of the instant motion, May 31, 2007, is the deadline for discovery in this matter, out of an abundance of caution, State Farm respectfully requests this Court to enter an order compelling Max to respond to the subpoena and to produce records relating to the plaintiff dated from the beginning of 2004 to the present time. In the alternative, State Farm requests the Court to enter an order directing Max to show cause why it should not be held in contempt for failing to comply with the subpoena.

/s/ D. Andrew Stivender

JAMES B. NEWMAN (NEWMJ8049) D. ANDREW STIVENDER (STIVD4909) Attorneys for Defendant State Farm Fire and Casualty Company

OF COUNSEL:

HELMSING, LEACH, HERLONG, NEWMAN & ROUSE POST OFFICE BOX 2767 MOBILE, ALABAMA 36652 (251) 432-5521

Facsimile: (251) 432-0633 Email: jbn@helmsinglaw.com

das@helmsinglaw.com

2

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

> F. Tucker Burge Burge & Burge 2001 Park Place North, Suite 850 Birmingham, Alabama 35203

this 31st day of May, 2007.

/s/ D. Andrew Stivender OF COUNSEL

## HELMSING, LEACH, HERLONG, NEWMAN & ROUSE

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

FREDERICK G. HELMSING \*
JOHN N. LEACH

WARREN C. HERLONG, JR.

JAMES B. NEWMAN ROBERT H. ROUSE

JOSEPH P. H. BABINGTON \*\*

R. ALAN ALEXANDER

JOHN T. DUKES

JEFFERY J. HARTLEY

J. CASEY PIPES
RUSSELL C. BUFFKIN

PATRICK C. FINNEGAN 1

LESLIE G. WEEKS \*

LOUISA LONG STOCKMAN

CHRISTOPHER T. CONTE

THOMAS RYAN LUNA

D. ANDREW STIVENDER

PROFESSIONAL CORPORAL

**LAWYERS** 

150 GOVERNMENT STREET

Mobile, Alabama

May 1, 2007

MAILING ADDRESS
POST OFFICE BOX 2767
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36652

STREET ADDRESS
SUITE 2000 LACLEDE BUILDING
150 GOVERNMENT STREET
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36602

TELEPHONE (251) 432-5521 TELECOPIER (251) 432-0633

www.helmsinglaw.com

- \* ALSO ADMITTED IN FLORIDA
- \*\* ALSO ADMITTED IN LOUISIANA
- 3 ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW YORK
- ALSO ADMITTED IN MISSISSIPPI

EXHIBIT

Via Certified Mail

Max Federal Credit Union

Attention: Loss Prevention Post Office Box 244040

Montgomery, Alabama 36124-4040

RE:

Martin O. Long v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co.

U.S.D.C. Middle District of Alabama, Case No. 2:06 CV 816-MHT

HLHN&R File No.: 14616

#### Dear Records Custodian:

This law firm represents the Defendant in the referenced litigation. As a result of this litigation, we are requesting that you produce complete copies of the documents identified in the enclosed subpoena duces tecum concerning Martin O. Long (DOB: 08/13/1968; SSN: 420-15-4502).

Please produce copies of the requested documents along with a signed and notarized Certificate of Authenticity (form enclosed) by May 16, 2007. While we ask that you respond promptly, please mail or overnight hard copies to us, as opposed to forwarding records via facsimile, electronic transmission or floppy disk Please provide us with an invoice for your copying expenses and we will remit payment immediately. If you require pre-payment, please fax an invoice to my attention at 251-432-0633 and I will forward a check to you.

Finally, if Martin Long was never seen at your facility or his records have been destroyed or discarded pursuant to retention policies or otherwise, please let us know.

Thank you very much for your attention to this subpoena. Please call me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours.

D. ANDREW STIVENDER

DAS/ldb Enclosure

cc: All Counsel of Record

	Issued by th		
United St	ATES DIST	TRICT COUR	RT.
MIDDLE	DISTRICT OF		ALABAMA
MARTIN O. LONG V.		SUBPOENA IN	N A CIVIL CASE
STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY		Case Number:1	2:06 CV 816-MHT
TO: MAX FEDERAL CREDIT UNION ATTN: LOSS PREVENTION Post Office Box 244040, Montgomery, AL	36124-4040		
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the I testify in the above case.	United States Distr	ict court at the place	e, date, and time specified below to
PLACE OF TESTIMONY			COURTROOM
			DATE AND TIME
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the p in the above case.	place, date, and tim	e specified below to	testify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION			DATE AND TIME
PYOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and place, date, and time specified below (list do Complete certified copies of any and all records writings, business records or other written mater	ocuments or object relating to Martin	s): O. Long; SS# 420-1	5-4502; DOB: 08/13/68; all
PLACE Law Offices of Helmsing, Leach, Herlong, 150 Government Street, Suite 2000, LeC	, Newman & Rouse lede Building, Mobile	, AL 36602	DATE AND TIME
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspe	ction of the follow	ing premises at the	date and time specified below.
PREMISES			DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is su directors, or managing agents, or other persons who matters on which the person will testify. Federal Ru ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE	consent to testify on les of Civil Procedu	its behalf, and may sere, 30(b)(6).	et forth, for each person designated, the
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUM James B. Newman, Esq., Andrew Stivender, E P. O. Box 2767, Mobile, AL 36652	_	Sendent	Stop 5/1/67

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

SERVED 5/1/2007  SERVED ON (PRINT NAME) MANNER OF SERVICE  Max Federal Credit Union Certified Mail		PR	OOF OF SERVICE		
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)  MANNER OF SERVICE		DATE	PLACE		
	RVED	5/1/2007			
Max Federal Credit Union Certified Mail	ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE		
	ederal Credit Union		Certified Mail		
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME) TITLE	BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE		
Andrew Stivender, Esq. Attorney	w Stivender, Esq.		Attorney		

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on

5/1/07

SIGNATURE OF SERV

ADDRESS OF SERVER

P. O. Box 276

Mobile, Alabama 36652

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoens shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoens or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoens written objection to producing any or all of the designated mitarialver inspection of the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoens shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoens was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoens may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

(3)(A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

 (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoens

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

 (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as
they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with
the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoens does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoens must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably

(C) A person responding to a subpoens need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoens need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
(2)(A) When information subject to a subpoens is withheld on a claim that it is privileged.

(2)(A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoens that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must prompily return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c/x3)(A).

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

MARTIN O. LONG,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) CASE NO: 2:06 CV 816-MHT
STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY CO.,	· )
Defendant.	)
COUNTY OF)	
STATE OF ALABAMA )	
	<u>ERTIFICATE</u>
I hereby certify that the attached is	s a true and complete copy of the records from MAX
FEDERAL CREDIT UNION pertaining to	MARTIN O. LONG (D/O/B 08/13/1968; SSN: 420-15-
4502) kept in our office in my custody, and I	am the legal custodian and keeper of said records. I further
certify that said records were made in the reg	gular course of business, and that it was in the regular course
of said office for such records to be made at	the time of the events, transactions or occurrences to which
they refer or within a reasonable time thereaf	ter.
SIGNED this the day of	, 2007.
	RECORDS CUSTODIAN
SWORN to and SUBSCRIBED to b	perfore me on this the day of, 2007.
	NOTARY PUBLIC My commission expires:

Attention Records Custodian: PLEASE NOTE THIS MUST BE EXECUTED AND RETURNED WITH YOUR RESPONSE.